



Prof. Dr. Rudolf Mathar, Jose Calvo, Markus Rothe

Tutorial 7 Friday, December 18, 2015

Problem 1. (verifying an ElGamal signature) The hashed message h(m) = 65 was signed using the ElGamal signature scheme with public parameters y = 399, p = 859, and a = 206. Verify the signature (r, s) = (373, 15).

Problem 2. (forging an ElGamal signature without hash function) Let p be prime with $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$, and let a be a primitive element modulo p. Furthermore, let $y \equiv a^x \mod p$ be a public ElGamal key and let $a \mid p-1$. Here, no hash function is used for the ElGamal signature. Assume that it is possible to find $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a^{rz} \equiv y^r \mod p$.

Show that (r, s) with $s = (p-3)2^{-1}(m-rz)$ yields a valid ElGamal signature for a chosen message m.

Problem 3. (forging an ElGamal signature with hash function) An attacker has intercepted one valid signature (r, s) of the ElGamal signature scheme and a hashed message h(m) which is invertible modulo p - 1.

Show that the attacker can generate a signature (r', s') for any hashed message h(m'), if $1 \le r < p$ is not verified.

Problem 4. (variations of the ElGamal signature scheme) The ElGamal signature scheme computes the signature as $s = k^{-1}(h(m) - xr) \mod (p-1)$. Consider the following variations of the ElGamal signature scheme.

- a) Consider the signing equation $s = x^{-1}(h(m) kr) \mod (p-1)$. Show that $a^{h(m)} \equiv y^s r^r \mod p$ is a valid verification procedure.
- b) Consider the signing equation $s = xh(m) + kr \mod (p-1)$. Propose a valid verification procedure.
- c) Consider the signing equation $s = xr + kh(m) \mod (p-1)$. Propose a valid verification procedure.