

Repetition: 9.4.1 GM cryptosystem

- i) $n = p \cdot q$, p, q primes
- ii) Choose $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ a QNR mod n and $\left(\frac{\gamma}{n}\right) = -1$
- iii) Public key (n, γ) private key (p, q)

Encryption: $m = (m_1, \dots, m_t) \in \{0, 1\}^t$

$$c_i = \begin{cases} \gamma \cdot x_i^2 \pmod{n}, & \text{if } m_i = 1 \\ x_i^2 \pmod{n}, & \text{if } m_i = 0 \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, t$$

$x_1, \dots, x_t \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$: random

ciphertext $c = (c_1, \dots, c_t)$

Decryption: Let $m_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \left(\frac{c_i}{p}\right) = 1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, t$

Security of the GM Cryptosystem

An opponent intercepts $c_i = \begin{cases} \gamma \cdot x_i^2 \pmod{n}, & \text{if } m_i = 1 \\ x_i^2 \pmod{n}, & \text{if } m_i = 0 \end{cases}$

hence, a random QR or pseudosquare mod n .

"To decide whether $m_i = 0$ or 1 , Oscar needs to solve

QRP (c_i, n) " If QRP is comput. infeasible, then O

can not do better than guessing m_i .

Remark 9.14

A major drawback of the GM cryptosystem is the message expansion by a factor of $\log_2(n)$ bits. To assure security presently about 300 dec. digits of n is needed, which means an expansion by a factor ≈ 1024 .

9.4.2 Blum-Goldwasser Cryptosystem

• Key generation:

(i) $p \neq q$ primes $p, q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $n = p \cdot q$

(ii) Compute a, b with $a \cdot p + b \cdot q = 1$ ||EEA

(iii) Public key n , Private key (p, q, a, b)

• Encryption: Let $h \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor \rfloor$
 Message $m = (m_1, \dots, m_t) \in \{0, 1\}^{ht}$, each m_i is of size h (bits)

Blum-Blum-Shub (BBS) generator for generating pseudo random bits b_i

- Select a random QR mod n : x_0
 (select randomly $0 < x_0 < n$, let $x_0 \equiv x_0^2 \pmod{n}$)

- Iterate: $x_i = x_{i-1}^2 \pmod{n}$ $i = 1, \dots, t+1$

b_i denote the h least significant (last) bits of x_i

$c_i = m_i \oplus b_i$

Ciphertext: $C = (c_1, \dots, c_t, t+1)$

• Decryption

$d_1 = \left(\frac{p+1}{4}\right)^{t+1} \pmod{p-1}$, $d_2 = \left(\frac{q+1}{4}\right)^{t+1} \pmod{q-1}$

$u = (x_{t+1})^{d_1} \pmod{p}$, $v = (x_{t+1})^{d_2} \pmod{q}$

$x_0 = (v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q) \pmod{n}$ $m_i = c_i \oplus b_i$

Prop. 9.15 The decryption of the BG cryptosystem is correct

Proof: The only point remaining is to show that x_0 is correct. $\forall i \geq 0$

$\forall i = 0, \dots, t+1$ Prop 9.7 $x_i \in \text{QR mod } n \Rightarrow x_i \in \text{QR mod } p$ Prop 9.2 $(p-1)/2 \Rightarrow x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

Hence,

$$x_{i+1}^{(p+1)/4} \equiv \left((x_i)^2 \right)^{(p+1)/4} \equiv x_i^{(p+1)/2} \equiv x_i \cdot x_i^{(p-1)/2} \equiv x_i \pmod{p} \quad (*)$$

By induction it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (x_{t+1})^{d_1} \equiv (x_{t+1})^{(p+1)/4} \equiv \left[(x_{t+1})^{(p+1)/4} \right]^{(p+1)/4} \\ &\equiv (x_t)^{(p+1)/4} \equiv \dots \equiv x_1^{(p+1)/4} \equiv x_0 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

[] In $(**)$: $d \equiv e \pmod{p-1} \Rightarrow x^d \equiv x^e \pmod{p}$

$\exists k : d = e + k(p-1)$

$$x^d \equiv x^e \cdot x^{k(p-1)} \equiv x^e \underbrace{(x^{p-1})^k}_{\equiv 1 \text{ Fermat}} \equiv x^e \pmod{p}$$

Analogously $v \equiv x_{t+1}^{d_2} \equiv x_0 \pmod{q}$

~~By CRT~~: $x_0 \equiv v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q \pmod{p}$

$$x_0 \equiv v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q \pmod{q}$$

By Prop 8.1: $v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q \equiv x_0 \pmod{pq}$

Example 9.15 (with artificially small parameters)

Key generation: $p = 499$, $q = 547$ ($\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$)

$$n = p \cdot q = 272953$$

EFA: $a = -57$, $b = 52$ ($a \cdot p + b \cdot q = 1$)

Encryption: $h = 4$, $t = 5$

$$m = (m_1, \dots, m_5) = (1001 | 1100 | 0001 | 0000 | 1100)$$

Choose random $r \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$: $r = 399$

$$x_0 = 399^2 \pmod{n} = 159201$$

i	$x_i = x_{i-1}^2 \pmod{n}$	b_i	$c_i = m_i \oplus b_i$
1	180539	1011	0010
2	193932	1100	0000
3	245613	1101	1100
4	130286	1110	1110
5	40632	1000	0100
6	139680	-	-

$$C = (0010 | 0000 | 1100 | 1110 | 0100, 139680)$$

Decryption:

$$d_1 = \left(\frac{p+1}{4}\right)^{t+1} \pmod{p-1} = 463$$

$$d_2 = \left(\frac{q+1}{4}\right)^{t+1} \pmod{q-1} = 337$$

$$u = (x_{t+1})^{d_1} \pmod{p} = 20$$

$$v = (x_{t+1})^{d_2} \pmod{q} = 24$$

$$x_0 = v \cdot a \cdot p + u \cdot b \cdot q \pmod{n} = 159201$$

Security of the BG cryptosystem

a) An eavesdropper sees the QR x_{t+1} . To determine x_t means to solve $QRSP(x_{t+1}, n)$, which is considered comput. infeasible.

b) The BG cryptosystem is vulnerable to chosen ciphertext attacks.

Opponent access x_t (or x_0 , then $x_t = x_0^{2^{t-1}} \pmod{n}$)

Opponent selects randomly $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, computes

$$x_{t+1} = m^2$$

There are 4 solutions of $x_{t+1} = m^2 \pmod{n}$

If $x_t \neq \pm m$ then $\gcd(x_t - m, n) \in \{p, q\}$

If $x_t = \pm m$ then select a new random number m

This attack is analogous to the one against the Rabin's cryptosystem.

Efficiency of the BG system

a) The message expansion is constant by $\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil$ bits, the representation of x_{t+1}

b) Computational effort is comparable to RSA, both in the encryption and decryption step.

10. Cryptographic Hash Functions

One-way hash function: mapping messages of arbitrary length to a digest of fixed length n , typically $n = 64, 128, 160$ bits.

Applications:

- Signature Schemes, sign the hash of a document rather than a long document itself
- Data integrity, software protection, protection against viruses
(MDC - Modification / Manipulation detection code
MAC - Message authentication code)

Hash fcts are typically publicly known and involve no secret keys.

Formal description of hash functions:

\mathcal{M} : message space (e.g., $\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} \{0,1\}^l = \{0,1\}^*$)

\mathcal{Y} : Finite set of possible hash values (digest, hash digest, authentication tags) (e.g., $\mathcal{Y} = \{0,1\}^{128}$)

\mathcal{K} : key space (finite set)

h : hash function $h: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} : (m, k) \mapsto h(m, k)$

h is called unkeyed, if $|\mathcal{K}| = 1$ or $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$

$(m, h(m))$ is called a valid pair.

10.1 Security of hash functions

In the following we are considering unkeyed hash functions

"It is computationally infeasible to compute preimages or to generate a collision" leads to the following.

Basic properties of cryptographic hash functions $h: M \rightarrow Y$

1. Given $m \in M$, $h(m)$ is easy to compute

Further, the solution of the following problems is comput. infeasible

2. Given $y \in Y$, find $m \in M$ such that $h(m) = y$.

In this case, h is called one-way function or preimage resistant.

3. Given $m \in M$, find $m' \neq m$ such that $h(m') = h(m)$

In this case, h is called second preimage resistant.

4. Find $m \neq m' \in M$ such that $h(m) = h(m')$

In this case h is called (strongly) collision free.

Note. Both m and m' may be freely chosen.