Lehrstuhl für Theoretische Informationstechnik



Prof. Dr. Rudolf Mathar, Henning Maier, Jose Angel Leon Calvo2015-05-21

Solution of Problem 11

RNTHAACHEI

Prove Theorem 4.13 ' \Rightarrow ' (sufficient solution):

Recall that each element of these sets has a positive probability:

$$\mathcal{M}_{+} := \{ M \in \mathcal{M} \mid P(\hat{M} = M) > 0 \},\$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{+} := \{ C \in \mathcal{C} \mid P(\hat{C} = C) > 0 \}.$$

Lemma 4.12 provides conditions of perfect secrecy on \mathcal{M}_+ , \mathcal{K}_+ , \mathcal{C}_+ . With Lemma 4.12 a), we obtain:

$$|\mathcal{M}_{+}| \leq |\mathcal{C}_{+}| \stackrel{(I)}{\leq} |\mathcal{C}| \stackrel{(II)}{=} |\mathcal{M}| \stackrel{(III)}{=} |\mathcal{M}_{+}|.$$

(I): With $P(\hat{C} = C) > 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_+ \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. (II): Given by assumption $|\mathcal{M}| = |\mathcal{K}| = |\mathcal{C}|$.

(III): Given by assumption $P(\hat{M} = M) > 0, \forall M \in \mathcal{M}.$

By the 'sandwich theorem', i.e., the upper and lower bounds are both equal to $|\mathcal{M}_+|$:

$$\Rightarrow |\mathcal{C}_+| = |\mathcal{C}| \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_+ = \mathcal{C},$$
$$\Rightarrow P(\hat{C} = C) > 0, \ \forall C \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}, C \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$0 < P(\hat{C} = C) \stackrel{(IV)}{=} P(\hat{C} = C \mid \hat{M} = M) = P(e(\hat{M}, \hat{K}) = C \mid \hat{M} = M)$$

$$\stackrel{(V)}{=} P(e(M, \hat{K}) = C) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{K}: e(M, K) = C} P(\hat{K} = K) \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall M \in \mathcal{M}, \ C \in \mathcal{C} \ \exists K \in \mathcal{K}: e(M, K) = C.$$
(1)

(IV): With perfect secrecy as given by Corollary 4.11.

(V): Given by the assumption that \hat{M}, \hat{K} are stochastically independent.

However, (1) is not shown to be unique yet!

(i) Fix $M \in \mathcal{M}$:

$$|\mathcal{C}_+| = |\mathcal{C}| = |\{e(M, K) \mid K \in \mathcal{K}_+ = \mathcal{K}\}| \le |\mathcal{K}| \stackrel{(II)}{=} |\mathcal{C}|$$

$$\Rightarrow K \text{ is unique with } K = K(M, C) \text{ by the 'sandwich theorem'.}$$

(II) Given by assumption $|\mathcal{M}| = |\mathcal{K}| = |\mathcal{C}|$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}, C \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\Rightarrow P(\hat{C} = C) \stackrel{(1)}{=} P(\hat{K} = K(M, C)),$$

because of perfect secrecy this expression is independent of M.

(ii) Fix $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\Rightarrow \{K(M, C_0) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}\} = \mathcal{K},$$

because of injectivity of $e(\cdot, K)$, i.e., $e(M, K) = C_0$, and by the assumption $|\mathcal{M}| = |\mathcal{C}|$.

$$\Rightarrow P(\hat{C} = C) = P(\hat{K} = K) \ \forall C \in \mathcal{C}, K \in \mathcal{K}$$
$$\Rightarrow P(\hat{K} = K) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} \ \forall K \in \mathcal{K}. \quad \Box$$

Solution of Problem 12

For an affine cipher in \mathbb{Z}_{26} : $e(i, (a, b)) = a \cdot i + b \mod 26$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{26}^* = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25\} = \{a | \gcd(a, 26) = 1\}$$
$$\Rightarrow |\mathcal{K}| = |\mathbb{Z}_{26}^* \times \mathbb{Z}_{26}| = 12 \cdot 26$$

Let $M \in \mathcal{M}, C \in \mathcal{C}$

$$P(\hat{C} = C | \hat{M} = M) = P(e(\hat{M}, \hat{K}) = C | \hat{M} = M)$$

$${}^{(\hat{K}, M \text{ stoch. ind.})} = P(e(M, \hat{K}) = C$$

$${}^{(\hat{K} \text{ unif. distr.})} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} | \{ K \in \mathcal{K} | e(M, K) = C \} |$$

$${}^{(*)} = \frac{12}{12 \cdot 26} = \frac{1}{26}$$

 $(*): e(M, (a, b)) = C \Leftrightarrow a \cdot M + b = C \mod 26 \Leftrightarrow b = C - aM \mod 26$ $\Rightarrow \text{ all keys } (a, C - aM), a \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}^* \text{ satisfy this equation}$

$$\Rightarrow P(\hat{C} = C | \hat{M} = M) = \frac{1}{26} \forall M \in \mathcal{M}_+$$
$$\Rightarrow P(\hat{C} = C) = \frac{1}{26} = P(\hat{C} = C | \hat{M} = M)$$

With Corollary 4.11, the cryptosystem has perfect secrecy, i.e., \hat{C} and \hat{M} are stochastically independent.

Solution of Problem 13

Recall: $H(X) = -\sum_i p_i \log(p_i)$.

a)
$$H(\hat{M}) = -\frac{1}{4}\log_2(\frac{1}{4}) - \frac{3}{4}\log_2(\frac{3}{4}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{4}\log_2(3) \approx 0.811$$

 $H(\hat{K}) = -\frac{1}{2}\log_2(\frac{1}{2}) - 2\frac{1}{4}\log_2(\frac{1}{4}) = \frac{1}{2} + 1 = 1.5$

c	$ K_1 $	K_2	K_3	
a	1	2	3	$\frac{1}{4}$
b	2	3	4	$\frac{3}{4}$
	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1

$$\begin{split} P(\hat{C}=1) &= P(\hat{M}=a) \cdot P(\hat{K}=K_1) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{8} \\ P(\hat{C}=2) &= P(\hat{M}=a) \cdot P(\hat{K}=K_2) + P(\hat{M}=b) \cdot P(\hat{K}=K_1) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{7}{16} \\ P(\hat{C}=4) &= P(\hat{M}=b) \cdot P(\hat{K}=K_3) = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{16} \\ \Rightarrow P(\hat{C}=3) &= 1 - P(\hat{C}=1) - P(\hat{C}=2) - P(\hat{C}=4) = 1 - \frac{2}{16} - \frac{7}{16} - \frac{3}{16} = \frac{4}{16} \\ \Rightarrow H(\hat{C}) &= -\frac{1}{8} \log_2(\frac{1}{8}) - \frac{7}{16} \log_2(\frac{7}{16}) - \frac{3}{16} \log_2(\frac{3}{16}) - \frac{1}{4} \log_2(\frac{1}{4}) \approx 1.850 \\ \Rightarrow H(\hat{K} \mid \hat{C}) \stackrel{\text{Thm. 4.7}}{=} H(\hat{M}) + H(\hat{K}) - H(\hat{C}) \approx 0.811 + 1.5 - 1.850 = 0.461 \end{split}$$

b) Lem. 4.12 b) demands $|\mathcal{C}_+| \leq |\mathcal{K}_+|$ for perfect secrecy. But in this case, we get $4 = |\mathcal{C}_+| > |\mathcal{K}_+| = 3 \notin$