Lehrstuhl für Theoretische Informationstechnik



Prof. Dr. Rudolf Mathar, Henning Maier, Jose Angel Leon Calvo2015-06-25

Solution of Problem 24

RNTHAACHF

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ the Euler φ -function, i.e., $\varphi(n) = |\mathbb{Z}_n^*|$ with $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid \gcd(a, n) = 1\}.$

a) Let n = p be prime. It follows for the multiplicative group that:

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid \gcd(a, p) = 1\} = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\} \Rightarrow \varphi(p) = p-1.$$

b) The power p^k has only one prime factor. So p^k has a common divisors that are not equal to one: These are only the multiples of p. For $1 \le a \le p^k$:

$$1 \cdot p$$
, $2 \cdot p$, ..., $p^{k-1} \cdot p = p^k$.

And it follows that

$$\varphi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} = p^{k-1}(p-1)$$
.

- c) Let n = pq for two primes $p \neq q$. It holds for $1 \leq a < pq$
 - 1) $p \mid a \lor q \mid a \Rightarrow \gcd(a, pq) > 1$, and 2) $p \nmid a \land q \nmid a \Rightarrow \gcd(a, pq) = 1$.

It follows
$$\mathbb{Z}_{pq}^* = \underbrace{\{1 \le a \le pq-1\}}_{pq-1 \text{ elements}} \setminus \left[\underbrace{\{1 \le a \le pq-1 \mid p+a\}}_{q-1 \text{ elements}} \cup \underbrace{\{1 \le a \le pq-1 \mid q+a\}}_{p-1 \text{ elements}}\right]$$

Hence: $\varphi(pq) = (pq-1) - (q-1) - (p-1) = pq - p - q + 1 = (p-1)(q-1) = \varphi(p)\varphi(q).$

d) Apply the Euler phi-function on n with the following steps:

- 1. Factorize all prime factors of the given n
- 2. Apply the rules in a) to c), correspondingly.

$$\varphi(4913) = \varphi(17^3) \stackrel{\text{(b)}}{=} 17^2(17 - 1) = 4624$$
, and
 $\varphi(899) = \varphi(30^2 - 1^2) = \varphi((30 - 1)(30 + 1)) = \varphi(29 \cdot 31) \stackrel{\text{(c)}}{=} 28 \cdot 30 = 840.$

Solution of Problem 25

a) Define event A: 'n composite' $\Leftrightarrow \overline{A}$: 'n prime'. Define event B: m-fold MRPT provides 'n prime' in all m cases. From hint: $\operatorname{Prob}(\overline{A}) = \frac{2}{\ln(N)} \Rightarrow \operatorname{Prob}(A) = 1 - \frac{2}{\ln(N)}$ (cf. Thm. 6.7)

Probability for the case that the MRPT fails for m times:

$$\operatorname{Prob}(B \mid A) \le \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^m$$

Probability of the MRPT verifying an actual prime is:

$$\operatorname{Prob}(B \mid \bar{A}) = 1$$

Probability of the MRPT wrongly verifying a composite n as prime after m tests is:

$$p = \operatorname{Prob}(A \mid B)$$

$$= \frac{\operatorname{Prob}(B \mid A) \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(A)}{\operatorname{Prob}(B)}$$

$$= \frac{\operatorname{Prob}(B \mid A) \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(A)}{\operatorname{Prob}(B \mid A) \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(A) + \operatorname{Prob}(B \mid \bar{A}) \cdot \operatorname{Prob}(\bar{A})}$$

$$\leq \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^m \left(1 - \frac{2}{\ln(N)}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^m \left(1 - \frac{2}{\ln(N)} + 1 \cdot \frac{2}{\ln(N)}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\ln(N) - 2}{\ln(N) - 2 + 2^{2m+1}}$$

b) Note that the above function $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+a}$ is monotonically increasing for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, a > 0, as its derivative is $f'(x) = \frac{a}{(x+a)^2} > 0$. Let $x = \ln(N) - 2$, and $N = 2^{512}$. Resolve the inequality w.r.t. m:

$$\begin{split} \frac{x}{x+2^{2m+1}} &< \frac{1}{1000} \\ \Leftrightarrow 2^{2m+1} &> 999x \\ \Leftrightarrow m &> \frac{1}{2}(\log_2(999x) - 1) \\ \Leftrightarrow m &> \frac{1}{2}(\log_2(999(512\ln(2) - 2)) - 1) \\ \Leftrightarrow m &> 8.714. \end{split}$$

m = 9 repetitions are needed to ensure that the error probability stays below $p = \frac{1}{1000}$ for $N = 2^{512}$.

Solution of Problem 26

- a) Let n be odd and composite. The problem is modelled by a geometric distributed random variable X with:
 - Probability of a single test stating 'n is prime' although n is composite is $p (\Rightarrow 1 p \text{ for 'n is composite'})$
 - Probability that after exactly $M \in \mathbb{N}$ tests, it correctly states 'p is composite':

$$\operatorname{Prob}(X = M) = p^{M-1}(1-p)$$

b) The expected value of a geometrically distributed random variable is:

$$\mathsf{E}(X) = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} M p^{M-1} (1-p) = (1-p) \frac{p}{(1-p)^2} = \frac{p}{1-p}$$

Note that with the geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}$, we can compute its derivative w.r.t. x, and obtain $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^{n-1} = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$, for |x| < 1.

For the given parameter $p = \frac{1}{4}$, the expected value for the number of tests stating that a composite n is indeed composite is:

$$\mathsf{E}(X) = \frac{p}{1-p} = \frac{1/4}{1-1/4} = \frac{1/4}{3/4} = \frac{1}{3}$$

Solution of Problem 27

a) " \Rightarrow " Let *n* with n > 1 be prime. Then, each factor *m* of (n-1)! is in the multiplicative group \mathbb{Z}_n^* . Each factor *m* has a multiplicative inverse modulo *n*. The factors 1 and n-1 are obviously inverse to themselves. The factorial multiplies all these factors. The entire product must be 1 since all pairs of inverses yield 1.

$$(n-1)! \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i \equiv \underbrace{(n-1)}_{\text{self-inv.}} \underbrace{(n-2) \cdot \dots \cdot 3 \cdot 2}_{\text{pairs of inv.} \equiv 1} \cdot \underbrace{1}_{\text{self-inv.}} \equiv (n-1) \equiv -1 \mod n$$

- "\equiv "Let n = ab and hence composite with $a, b \neq 1$ prime. Thus a|n and a|(n-1)!. From $(n-1)! \equiv -1 \Rightarrow (n-1)! + 1 \equiv 0$, we obtain $a|((n-1)! + 1) \Rightarrow a|1 \Rightarrow a = 1 \Rightarrow n$ must be prime. \notin
- **b)** Compute the factorial of 28:

$$28! = \underbrace{\overbrace{(28 \cdot 27)}^{2} \cdot \overbrace{(26 \cdot 25)}^{12} \cdot \overbrace{(24 \cdot 23)}^{1} \cdot \overbrace{(22 \cdot 21)}^{27} \cdot \overbrace{(20 \cdot 19)}^{3} \cdot \overbrace{(18 \cdot 17)}^{16}}_{8} \underbrace{(16 \cdot 15) \cdot \underbrace{(14 \cdot 13)}_{8} \cdot \underbrace{(12 \cdot 11)}_{16} \cdot \underbrace{(10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8)}_{24} \cdot \underbrace{(7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4)}_{28} \cdot \underbrace{(3 \cdot 2)}_{6}}_{6}}_{28}$$
$$= \underbrace{(2 \cdot 12 \cdot 1 \cdot 27 \cdot 3)}_{1} \cdot \underbrace{(16 \cdot 8 \cdot 8 \cdot 16)}_{-1} \cdot \underbrace{(24 \cdot 28 \cdot 6)}_{1} \equiv -1 \mod 29$$

Thus, 29 is prime as shown by Wilson's primality criterion.

c) Using this criterion is computationally inefficient, since computing the factorial is very time-consuming.