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Cryptography
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## Please pay attention to the following:

1) The exam consists of 4 problems. Please check the completeness of your copy. Only written solutions on these sheets will be considered. Removing the staples is not allowed.
2) The exam is passed with at least $\mathbf{3 0}$ points.
3) You are free in choosing the order of working on the problems. Your solution shall clearly show the approach and intermediate arguments.
4) Admitted materials: The sheets handed out with the exam and a non-programmable calculator.
5) The results will be published on Tuesday, the $30.08 .16,16: 00 \mathrm{~h}$, on the homepage of the institute.

The corrected exams can be inspected on Tuesday, 02.09.16, 10:00h. at the seminar room 333 of the Chair for Theoretical Information Technology, Kopernikusstr. 16.
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Problem 1. (15 points)
a) Using Euler's criterion, -1 is a quadratic residue iff $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}=1$, which means $\frac{p-1}{2}=2 k$ or $p=4 k+1$. (3P)
b) From Wilson's theorem, it is known that: (3P Bonus)

$$
(p-1)!\equiv-1 \quad \bmod p
$$

On the other hand see that:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\frac{p-1}{2} & \equiv-\frac{p+1}{2} & \bmod p \\
\frac{p-3}{2} & \equiv-\frac{p+3}{2} & \bmod p \\
\cdots \cdots & & \\
& \equiv-(p-1) & \bmod p .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore:

$$
(p-1)!\equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)^{2} \quad \bmod p
$$

If $4 \mid p-1$, then the previous equia implies that:

$$
-1 \equiv\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!\right)^{2} \quad \bmod p
$$

c) Use chinese remainder theorem for two solutions $\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)$ ! and ( $\left.\frac{q-1}{2}\right)$ !. (5P)
d) One way is to find $a$ from $a^{2} \equiv-1 \bmod n$ and then finding $c / a$. (3P) An easier way for decryption is simply by $-c^{2} \bmod n$. It requires that $n$ be known at the decoder. (1P) Bonus
e) Since $n \mid a^{2}+1$, one can look at prime decomposition of $a^{2}+1$ to find possible $n=p q$. The attack is difficult since the decomposition is difficult. Moreover there might be multiple possibilities for $n$. (4P)

Problem 2. (15 points)
a) $(3 \mathrm{P})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\hat{C} \mid \hat{M}=M) & =-\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} P(\hat{C}=C \mid \hat{M}=M) \log P(\hat{C}=C \mid \hat{M}=M) \\
& =-(1-\epsilon) \log (1-\epsilon)-\epsilon \log \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{K}|-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is independent of $P(\hat{M}=M)$, therefore:

$$
H(\hat{C} \mid \hat{M})=\sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} P(\hat{M}=M) H(\hat{C} \mid \hat{M}=M)=-(1-\epsilon) \log (1-\epsilon)-\epsilon \log \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{K}|-1}\right) .
$$

b) Using conditioning on $\hat{M}$ : (4P)
$P(\hat{C}=C)=\sum P(\hat{M}=M) P(\hat{C}=C \mid \hat{M}=M)=(1-\epsilon) P(\hat{M}=C)+\frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{K}|-1} P(\hat{M} \neq C)$.
Now see that $H(\hat{M})-H(\hat{M} \mid \hat{C})=H(\hat{C})-H(\hat{C} \mid \hat{M})$.
If $\hat{M}$ is uniformly distributed, then:

$$
P(\hat{C}=C)=(1-\epsilon) \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|}+\frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{K}|-1} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|-1}{|\mathcal{M}|} .
$$

Since $|\mathcal{M}|=|\mathcal{K}|, \hat{C}$ is uniformly distributed and :

$$
H(\hat{C})=\log |\mathcal{K}| .
$$

Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\hat{M})-H(\hat{M} \mid \hat{C}) & =\log |\mathcal{K}|+(1-\epsilon) \log (1-\epsilon)+\epsilon \log \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{K}|-1}\right) \\
& =\log |\mathcal{K}|-\epsilon \log (|\mathcal{K}|-1)+(1-\epsilon) \log (1-\epsilon)+\epsilon \log (\epsilon) \\
& =\log |\mathcal{K}|-\epsilon \log (|\mathcal{K}|-1)-h_{b}(\epsilon),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{b}(\epsilon)=-(1-\epsilon) \log (1-\epsilon)-\epsilon \log (\epsilon)$ is the entropy of a Bernoulli RV with parameter $\epsilon$.
c) $\log |\mathcal{K}|-\epsilon \log (|\mathcal{K}|-1)=(1-\epsilon) \log |\mathcal{K}|+\epsilon \log \left(\frac{|\mathcal{K}|}{|\mathcal{K}|-1}\right)$. When $|\mathcal{K}|$ is large $\log \left(\frac{|\mathcal{K}|}{|\mathcal{K}|-1}\right)$ is small and the dominant term is $(1-\epsilon) \log |\mathcal{K}|$.
d) When $\epsilon=0$, then $H(\hat{M})-H(\hat{M} \mid \hat{C})=H(\hat{M})$, because we have an identity mapping. When $\epsilon=1$, we have: (3P)

$$
H(\hat{M})-H(\hat{M} \mid \hat{C})=\log \left(\frac{|\mathcal{K}|}{|\mathcal{K}|-1}\right)
$$

As $|\mathcal{K}|$ grows large, $\log \left(\frac{|\mathcal{K}|}{|\mathcal{K}|-1}\right)$ tends to zero and the system approaches the perfect secrecy.
e) The perfect secrecy is achieved when $P(\hat{C}=C \mid \hat{M}=M)$ does not depend on $M$ and $C$. Hence: (2P)

$$
1-\epsilon=\frac{\epsilon}{|\mathcal{K}|-1} \Longrightarrow \epsilon=\frac{|\mathcal{K}|-1}{|\mathcal{K}|} .
$$

Problem 3. (15 points)
a) The steps for the AES128 encryption are: (3P)

- Having a key size of 128 bits $\longrightarrow$ we have $r=10$ rounds
- The steps for the rounds $1, \ldots, r-1$ consist on the following:
- SubBytes (SB)
- ShiftRows (SR)
- MixColums (MC)
- AddRoundKey (ARC)
- The last round consists of SubBytes, ShiftRows and AddRoundKey
b) The solution is: (5P)
$t m p \leftarrow W_{3}=(69746 F 2 A)_{16}$
RotByte $($ tmp $)=(746 F 2 A 69)_{16}$
SubBytes $($ RotByte $($ tmp $))=(92 \text { A8 E5 F9 })_{16}$
Rcon(1) $=(01000000)$
$t m p \leftarrow \operatorname{SubBytes}($ RotByte $(t m p)) \oplus R \operatorname{con}\left(\frac{i}{4}\right)=(93 \text { A8 E5 F9 })_{16}$
$W_{4} \leftarrow W_{3} \oplus t m p=(6920 E 299) \oplus t m p=(F A 880760)_{16}$
c) The keys $K, \ldots, K_{16}$ are all the same (all 1ss). Decryption is accomplished by reversing the order of the keys to $K_{16}, \ldots, K_{1}$. Since the $K_{i}$ are all the same, this is the same as encryption, so encrypting twice gives back the plaintext. (2P)
d) The key of all 0 s , by the same reasoning as before. ( 2 P )
e) No, this problem does not persist due to the key expansion algorithm, since the key expansion makes the rounds no longer corresponding one-to-one with other lengths bit-keys. (3P)

Problem 4. (15 points)
a) We have $\alpha=(5 n+7)$ and $\beta=(3 n+4)(3 \mathrm{P})$

The Bezout lemma states that iff $a$ and $b$ are coprime then the following equation has integer solutions:

$$
\alpha \cdot x+\beta \cdot y=1
$$

Therefore,

$$
(5 n+7) \cdot x+(3 n+4) \cdot y=1
$$

Now, we apply the EEA to the previous equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (5 n+7)=(3 n+4)+(2 n+3) \\
& (3 n+4)=(2 n+3)+(n+1) \\
& (2 n+3)=2(n+1)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now backwards:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =(2 n+3)-2(n+1) \\
& =(2 n+3)-2(-(2 n+3)+(3 n+4)) \\
& =3(2 n+3)-2(3 n+4) \\
& =(2 n+3)+2(2 n+3)-2(3 n+4) \\
& =3(2 n+3)-2(3 n+4) \\
& =3((5 n+7)-(3 n+4))-2(3 n+4) \\
& =3(5 n+7)-3(3 n+4)-2(3 n+4) \\
& =3(5 n+7)-5(3 n+4)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $x=3$ and $y=-5$ which prove that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are relatively prime
b) The steps to generate the first prime $p$ are the following: (3P)

- Using a random number generator, we generate a random number of size $K / 2$
- Set the lowest bit of the generated integer to ensure that the number will be odd
- Set the two highest bits of the integer to ensure that the highest bits of $n$ will be set
- Using the MRPT, we check if the resulting integer is prime. If not, we increment the value by 2 and check again

The entire procedure is analogous for $q$.
c) The given RSA cryptosystem has the following parameters: (3P)
$p=11, q=13, e=7$ and $n=p \cdot q=143$

Using the Euler function: $\phi(n)=10 \cdot 12=120$

Having the expression: $m=c^{d} \bmod n$, we need to calculate the $g d c(e, \phi(n))=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
120 & =17 \cdot 7+1 \\
7 & =1 \cdot 6+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now backwards

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =7-(1 \cdot 6) \\
& =7-6(120-17 \cdot 7) \\
& =7-(6 \cdot 120)+102 \cdot 7 \\
& =103 \cdot 7-6 \cdot 120 \longrightarrow d=103
\end{aligned}
$$

$m \equiv c^{d} \bmod n \equiv 31^{103} \bmod 143$. Therefore, applying the SM algorithm we obtain $m=47$
d) Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(e_{A}, e_{B}\right)=1$, there exist integers $x$ and $y$ with $e_{A} \cdot x+e_{B} \cdot y$. Therefore, $m=m^{1}=m^{e_{A} \cdot x+e_{B} \cdot y}=\left(m^{e_{A}}\right)^{x} \cdot\left(m^{e_{B}}\right)^{y} \equiv c_{A}^{x} \cdot c_{B}^{y}$. Since Claire has access to the values $c_{A}$ and $c_{B}$ she can calculate $m$. (2P)
e) The requirements of a digital signature are: (2P)

- it must be verifiable
- it must be forgery-proof
- it must be firmly connected to the document
f) Oskar wants to obtain a chosen signature $s=m^{d} \bmod n(2 \mathbf{P})$
- Oskar generates a message $m_{2}=m \cdot m^{-1} \bmod n$ and asks again to sign a message $m_{2}$, obtaining $s_{2}=m_{2}{ }^{d} \bmod n$
- From the pairs $\left(m_{1}, s_{1}\right)$ and $\left(m_{2}, s_{2}\right)$ the wanted signature $s$ on message $m$ can be recovered as $s=s_{1} \cdot s_{2} \bmod n$

Proof :

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & \equiv s_{1} \cdot s_{2} \equiv m_{1}{ }^{d} \cdot m_{2}^{d} \equiv m_{1}^{d} \cdot\left(m \cdot m^{-1}\right)^{d} \equiv \\
& \equiv m_{1}{ }^{d} \cdot m^{d} \equiv m^{d} \bmod n
\end{aligned}
$$

